

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/826,256	04/04/2001	Norbert Conrads	PHN 16, 136A	2663
24737	7590 · 09/15/2005	EXAM		MINER
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			CHURCH, CRAIG E	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882	

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A				
	Application No	Applicant(s)				
	09/826,256	CONRADS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Craig E. Church	2882				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the learned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 January 2005</u> .					
,	<del>_</del>					
,—	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-8 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	ie Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur</li> </ul>	ments have been received. ments have been received in a	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu		A second				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S</li> </ul>	·	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· ·				

Application/Control Number: 09/826,256

Art Unit: 2882

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meulenbrugge (5530238). Meulenbrugge teaches x-ray examination apparatus comprising:

an x-ray image sensor matrix 12 for deriving an initial image signal from an x-ray image and calibration image signals from a calibration image signal which represent delayed electric charges emitted during readout after a fixed period of time since said initial image exposure

a correction unit 22 for deriving a corrected image signal from the initial image signal, wherein the correction unit includes memory 1-7/37 for storing correction values derived from the calibration image signal and an arithmetic unit 36 for computing signal levels of the corrected image signal from signal levels of the initial image signal and at least some of said correction values in order to take said delayed electric charges into consideration during correction.

Meulenbrugge's initial image and calibration image are the same image. Means are provided to select correction values from the frame memories and the lookup tables, and values from the lookup tables are used to obtain more accurate values from correction values stored in the frame memories.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,256

Art Unit: 2882

Page 3

Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meulenbrugge as above. Meulenbrugge does not mention interpolating between stored correcting values, but it would have been obvious to do so in order to achieve greater reduction of trapped charge artifacts.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Craig E. Church at telephone number (571) 272-2488.

Croug E Church

Craig E. Church Senior Examiner Art Unit 2882